



AUSTIN,, TX 78767-0969

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/461,521 12/14/1999 REINHARD HEINRICH HOHENSEE BO9-99-013 3912 06/10/2004 EXAMINER BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P. NGUYEN, CHAU T INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 969

> 2176 DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			A 15-204(m)	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	d
Advisory Action		09/461,521	HOHENSEE ET AL.	
,		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Chau Nguyen	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	? SS
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2004 FAIL Therefore, further action by the applical inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Examination (RCE) in compliance with	nt is required to a c <u>only</u> be either: (1 d Notice of Appea	void abandonment of this app) a timely filed amendment wh	lication. A proper reply nich places the applicati	ion in
	PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months	s from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the no event, however, will the statutory of ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under dee have been filed is the date for purposes of dee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any repimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term	period for reply expire E FIRST REPLY WAS 37 CFR 1.136(a). The etermining the period the expiration date of ly received by the Off	later than SIX MONTHS from the mass FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF edate on which the petition under 37 of extension and the corresponding at the shortened statutory period for relice later than three months after the	ailing date of the final rejection THE FINAL REJECTION. S CFR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation of the fee. The approperation of the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension	Appellant's	s Brief must be filed within the R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	e period set forth in all of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) wil				
(a) they raise new issues that w			h (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new				
` , — ,		in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or sim	iplifying the
	ms without cance	ling a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims	5.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome	the following rejec	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended cla canceling the non-allowable class	im(s) would im(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed a	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, application in condition for allow	or c)⊠ request fo vance because: <u>S</u>	or reconsideration has been continuation Sheet.	onsidered but does NOT	f place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT raised by the Examiner in the fir		cause it is not directed SOLEI	_Y to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the properties explanation of how the new or a	posed amendmer amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered o vould be rejected is provided b	or b) will be entered a below or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or	will be) as follows	;		
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 4-7, 9-12,</u>	and 14-1 <u>5</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consid				
8. The drawing correction filed on		proved or b) disapproved	by the Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information D				
	.ca.ca.a otatom	- \-\	Vet 11	
10. Other:		CLIDE	JOSEPH FEILD	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner's maintained the final rejection over Orton, Vanderwiele, Alam, and further in view of Hohensee. There are no amendments in the Response After Final as stated in page 3.